



Architectural Commission Report

Meeting Date: Wednesday, August 20, 2014

Subject: **COACH (PL1408618)**
327 North Rodeo Drive

Request for approval of a revision to a previously approved construction barricade graphic. The Commission will also consider adoption of a Categorical Exemption, pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

Project agent: Amy Tsao - Coach

Recommendation: Conduct public hearing to discuss the project details and provide the applicant with an approval.

REPORT SUMMARY

The applicant is requesting approval of a revision to a previously approved construction barricade graphic for the Coach retail store located at 327 North Rodeo Drive.

A construction barricade graphic was approved by the Architectural Commission at their meeting on June 18, 2014 with the following project-specific condition:

1. *The barricade mural shall be reduced in size to a maximum size of 20' x 20' with the remainder of the barricade painted white.*

The specific request is to amend the project-specific condition to allow the applicant a larger construction barricade graphic consistent with the sizes approved by the Commission for other retail tenants on North Rodeo Drive. While the Beverly Hills Municipal Code (BHMC) outlines area maximums for business identification signage on barricades (see the following paragraph), it does not outline area maximums for artful barricade murals/graphics.

Pursuant to BHMC §10-4-612, construction barricades may include one (1) 12 SF sign that includes the name of the business, a company logo, and the opening date of the business on that portion of the construction barricade parallel to the street. Each barricade return may also include one (1) 2 SF sign that includes the name of the business and the opening date. The barricade returns also contain 6 SF allocated to adjacent tenants, which is consistent with the Department of Community Development's policy.

URBAN DESIGN ANALYSIS

The barricade mural façade design is understated and tasteful with appropriately sized business identification signage that will positively enhance the streetscape of North Rodeo Drive. Additionally, the graphic is similar in design content and size to barricades previously approved by the Commission.

Attachment(s):

- A. Detailed Design Description and Materials (Applicant Prepared)
- B. Project Design Plans
- C. DRAFT Approval Resolution

Report Author and Contact Information:

Cindy Gordon, Associate Planner
(310) 285-1191
cgordon@beverlyhills.org



Architectural Commission Report

455 North Rexford Drive, Room 280-A

AC Meeting – August 20, 2014

ZONING CODE COMPLIANCE

Applications for design review are preliminarily evaluated for compliance with the zoning code. Applicants are encouraged and have the option of requesting a comprehensive review separate and apart from this application. Formal compliance review will occur when a building permit application is filed (plan check). The applicant has been advised that changes during plan check may require revisions and subsequent approval from the Architectural Commission or staff, as appropriate.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

The subject project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA – Public Resources Code §§21000 – 21178), pursuant to Section 15061(b)(3) of the State CEQA Guidelines in that the project includes the review of building design, colors and materials to the façade of the building, front yard landscaping or minor low-scaled accessory structures, such as fences or walls. It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the subject activity could result in a significant effect on the environment. The project has also been reviewed and found not be a historic resource. The existing improvements to be demolished or altered were not designed by an architect or builder identified on the City's Master Architect list and the site and improvements are not listed on the City's historic resource inventory.

PUBLIC OUTREACH AND NOTIFICATION

Public notification was not required for this project.



Architectural Commission Report

455 North Rexford Drive, Room 280-A

AC Meeting – August 20, 2014

Attachment A

Detailed Design Description
and Materials (applicant prepared)

SECTION 2 – PROJECT DESCRIPTION / ZONING INFORMATION

A Indicate Requested Application

- Staff Review
 - Three (3) sets of plans required (all plan sets must be 11” x 17” in size).
- Architectural Commission Review
 - Eight (8) sets of plans required (all plan sets must be 11” x 17” in size).
 - Public Notice materials required for Sign Accommodations (see Section 5 for public notice requirements).

B Identify the scope of work (check all that apply):

- New construction
- Façade Remodel ONLY
- Business Identification Sign(s)
 - Number of signs proposed:
- Building Identification Sign(s)
 - Number of signs proposed:
- Sign Accommodation (explain reason for the accommodation request below):
 - Number of signs proposed:
- Other: Barricade Image added to Logo from previously approved Barricade submittal

C Describe the scope of work proposed including materials and finishes:

Image added to entire barricade in addition to logo

D Identify the Project Zoning (City Zoning Map: <http://gis.beverlyhills.org/>)

- | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> R-4 | <input type="checkbox"/> R-4X | <input type="checkbox"/> R-4 | <input type="checkbox"/> R-4-P | <input type="checkbox"/> R-4X2 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> R-3 | <input type="checkbox"/> RMCP | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> C-3 | <input type="checkbox"/> C-3A | <input type="checkbox"/> C-3B |
| <input type="checkbox"/> C-5 | <input type="checkbox"/> C-3T-1 | <input type="checkbox"/> C-3T-2 | <input type="checkbox"/> C-3T-5 | <input type="checkbox"/> C-5 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ | | | | |

E Lot is currently developed with (check all that apply):

- General Office Building
- Retail Building
- Medical Office Building
- Multi-family Building
- Vacant
- Restaurant
- Other (specify below): _____

F Has the existing structure been designed by a notable architect or is it identified on any historic resource inventory, including the City of Beverly Historic Resources Survey (Verify with the Planning Division if the property is listed on the City’s survey)?

Yes No If yes, please list Architect’s name: _____

SECTION 3 – PROJECT DETAILS AND MATERIALS (continues on next page)

A Indicate in the chart below all applicable signage details:

	<u>Type of Sign</u>	<u>Quantity</u>	<u>Dimensions</u>	<u>Square Ft</u>	<u>Maximum Area Permitted by Code</u>
1	Construction Barricade		10'-3" x 11'-0"	118/SF	12/SF
2					
3					
4					
5					

B List the specific materials and finishes for all of the architectural features proposed in the project (List N/A, not applicable, for features that do not apply.):

FAÇADE (List all material for all portions visible from the street)

Material: N/A
 Texture /Finish: _____
 Color / Transparency: _____

WINDOWS/DOORS (Include frame, trim, glass, metal, etc.)

Material: N/A
 Texture /Finish: _____
 Color / Transparency: _____

ROOF

Material: N/A
 Texture /Finish: _____
 Color / Transparency: _____

COLUMNS

Material: N/A
 Texture /Finish: _____
 Color / Transparency: _____

BALCONIES & RAILINGS

Material: N/A
 Texture /Finish: _____
 Color / Transparency: _____

OUTDOOR DINING ELEMENTS (List all material for all outdoor dining elements.)

Material: N/A
 Texture /Finish: _____
 Color / Transparency: _____

SECTION 3 – PROJECT DETAILS AND MATERIALS (continued from previous page)

AWNINGS, CANOPIES

Material: N/A
Texture /Finish: _____
Color / Transparency: _____

DOWNSPOUTS / GUTTERS

Material: N/A
Texture /Finish: _____
Color / Transparency: _____

BUSINESS ID SIGN(S)

Material: VINYL
Texture /Finish: IMAGE BACKGROUND
Color / Transparency: OPAQUE

BUILDING ID SIGN(S)

Material: N/A
Texture /Finish: _____
Color / Transparency: _____

EXTERIOR LIGHTING

Material: TRANSLUCENT PLASTIC
Texture /Finish: TRANSLUCENT
Color / Transparency: TRANSLUCENT WHITE (AS REQUIRED PER CODE ON SENSOR)

PAVED SURFACES

Material: N/A
Texture /Finish: _____
Color / Transparency: _____

FREESTANDING WALLS AND FENCES

Material: N/A
Texture /Finish: _____
Color / Transparency: _____

OTHER DESIGN ELEMENTS

Material: N/A
Texture /Finish: _____
Color / Transparency: _____

C Describe the proposed landscape theme, if applicable. Explain how the proposed landscaping complements the proposed style of architecture:

N/A

SECTION 4 – DESIGN ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS (for Commission level applications only)

A Clearly identify how your project adheres to each of the required findings of the Architectural Review Commission:

- 1. Describe how the proposed building or structure is in conformity with good taste and good design and, in general, contributes to the image of Beverly Hills as a place of beauty, spaciousness, balance, taste, fitness, broad vistas and high quality.**

N/A

- 2. Describe how the proposed building or structure indicates the manner in which the structure is reasonably protected against external and internal noise, vibrations, and other factors which may tend to make the environment less desirable.**

N/A

- 3. Describe how the proposed building or structure is not, in its exterior design and appearance, of inferior quality such as to cause the nature of the local environment to materially depreciate in appearance and value.**

N/A

- 4. Describe how the proposed building or structure is in harmony with the proposed developments on land in the general area, with the general plan for Beverly Hills, and with any precise plans adopted pursuant to the general plan.**

N/A

- 5. Describe how the proposed development is in conformity with the standards of the municipal code and other applicable laws insofar as the location and appearance of the buildings and structures are involved.**

N/A



Architectural Commission Report

455 North Rexford Drive, Room 280-A

AC Meeting – August 20, 2014

Attachment B

Project Design Plans



Architectural Commission Report

455 North Rexford Drive, Room 280-A
AC Meeting – August 20, 2014

Attachment C

DRAFT Approval Resolution

RESOLUTION NO. AC XX-14

RESOLUTION OF THE ARCHITECTURAL COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF BEVERLY HILLS CONDITIONALLY APPROVING AN ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW PERMIT TO ALLOW A REVISION TO A PREVIOUSLY APPROVED CONSTRUCTION BARRICADE GRAPHIC FOR THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT 327 NORTH RODEO DRIVE (PL1412407 – COACH).

The Architectural Commission of the City of Beverly Hills hereby finds, resolves and determines as follows:

Section 1. Amy Tsao, agent, on behalf of the property owner and tenant, Coach, (Collectively the “Applicant”), has applied for architectural approval of a revision to a previously approved construction barricade graphic for the property located at 327 North Rodeo Drive.

Section 2. Beverly Hills Municipal Code Article 30, Chapter 3 of Title 10, authorizes the Architectural Commission the authority to approve, approve with conditions, or deny design-related aspects of projects located in the city’s commercial and multi-family districts, subject to findings set forth in Beverly Municipal Code Section 10-3-3010.

Section 3. Consistent with Beverly Hills Municipal Code Section 10-3-3010, this resolution documents the official action of the Architectural Commission with respect to the project.

Section 4. The subject project has been reviewed pursuant to the provisions set forth in the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA – Public Resource Code Sections 21000, *et seq.*), the State CEQA Guidelines (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Sections 15000 *et seq.*), and the city’s local CEQA Guidelines. The subject project is exempt from environmental review pursuant to Section 15061(b)(3) of the State CEQA Guidelines in that the project includes the review of building design, colors and materials to the façade of the building, landscaping or minor low-scaled accessory structures, such as fences or walls. It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the subject activity

could result in a significant effect on the environment. The project has also been reviewed and found to not be a historic resource. The existing improvements to be demolished or altered were not designed by an architect or builder identified on the City's Master Architect list and the site and improvements are not listed on the City's historic resource inventory.

Section 5. The Architectural Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing on August 20, 2014 at which time oral and documentary evidence was received concerning the application.

Section 6. Based upon the evidence presented at the hearings, including the staff report(s), oral and written testimony, the Architectural Commission hereby makes the following findings:

A. The plan for the proposed building or structure is in conformity with good taste and good design and, in general, contributes to the image of Beverly Hills as a place of beauty, spaciousness, balance, taste, fitness, broad vistas, and high quality. Specifically the project incorporates an appropriate balance of color, high quality materials and appropriate architectural design principles to reinforce the city's urban form and promote the image of Beverly Hills.

B. The plan for the proposed building or structure indicates the manner in which the structure is reasonably protected against external and internal noise, vibrations, and other factors which may tend to make the environment less desirable. The proposed project is proposed to be constructed using contemporary building materials and practices, and, as conditioned, compliant with all applicable building codes, including standards that protect against unwanted noise and vibrations.

C. Proposed building or structure is not, in its exterior design and appearance, of inferior quality such as to cause the nature of the local environment to materially depreciate in appearance and

value. Specifically, the commission has reviewed the design and construction materials proposed for the project, which incorporates contemporary building material of known quality and durability. Moreover, the project design is appropriate to the building and surrounding improvements and is well matched to the selected materials.

D. As conditioned, the proposed building or structure is in harmony with the proposed developments on land in the general area, with the general plan for Beverly Hills, and with any precise plans adopted pursuant to the general plan. The proposed project complies with the applicable goals and policies set forth in the general plan, and, as conditioned, designed in a manner that complies with local ordinances. The overall design is consistent with and appropriate to other improvements in the general vicinity.

E. The proposed development is in conformity with the standards of this code and other applicable laws insofar as the location and appearance of the buildings and structures are involved. As conditioned, the project will be designed in compliance with all applicable regulations.

F. The proposed development is designed in a manner that protects and preserves those exterior elements of the building which the Planning Commission found contributed to the determination of the project as a "character contributing building" in accordance with section 10-2-707 of this title. The proposed project does not include a request and has not been determined by the Planning Commission to be a project that qualifies as a "character contributing building" under section 10-2-707. Therefore, this finding is not applicable to the subject project.

Section 7. Based on the foregoing, the Architectural Commission hereby grants the request defined in this resolution subject to the following conditions:

Project-Specific Conditions

No project-specific conditions are proposed for this project.

Standard Conditions

1. **Revised Plan Submittal.** For all projects that are approved with project-specific conditions, a revised plan set that has fully incorporated all such conditions shall be submitted to the project planner, both in hard copy format and in electronic format, prior to submitting for the building permit plan check process.
2. **Architectural Approval.** Project approval is for the design-related aspects of the project only. No approval is implied or granted with regard to applicable city zoning or technical codes, which may require review and approval from other city commissions or officials.
3. **Compliance with Municipal Code.** Prior to issuance of a building permit, the applicant shall demonstrate compliance with all applicable provisions of the city's municipal code and applicable conditions imposed by any discretionary review approval.
4. **Compliance with Special Conditions.** Any special conditions that require approval by the Director of Community Development, or designee, shall be submitted to the staff liaison to the Commission within fourteen (14) days of approval or prior to submittal of the plan check review application, whichever is greater.
5. **Project Rendering.** Prior to issuance of a building permit, the applicant shall incorporate into the building permit set of plans, an updated color rendering of all building facades. The quality and detail of the rendering shall be subject to approval from the Director of Community Development, or designee, and shall include sufficient design information to evaluate project compliance during construction.

6. **Approval Resolution.** A copy of the signed resolution of approval shall be scanned onto the cover sheet(s) of the building permit set of plans.

7. **Substantial Compliance with Approved Plans.** The Director of Community Development, or designee, shall determine if changes to the approved project are in substantial compliance with the commission's action. This determination shall be subject to applicable fees and charges. A substantial modification to the approved project requires approval from the Architectural Commission.

8. **Validity of Permits.** The rights granted by this approval shall remain valid for three (3) years from the date of approval, unless extended pursuant to Beverly Hills Municipal Code Section 10-3-207.

Section 8. The Secretary of the Architectural Commission shall certify to the passage, approval, and adoption of this resolution, and shall cause this resolution and its certification to be entered in the administrative record maintained by the Community Development Department.

Section 9. Decisions of the Architectural Commission may be appealed to the City Council within fourteen (14) days of the final action by filling a written appeal and paying appropriate fees with the City of Beverly Hills City Clerk.

Approved as to Form and Content:

Adopted: August 20, 2014

William Crouch, Commission Secretary
Community Development Department

Barry Bernstein, Chair
Architectural Commission