



AGENDA REPORT

Meeting Date: May 7, 2013

Item Number: E-5

To: Honorable Mayor & City Council

From: Alan Schneider, Director of Project Administration
Donielle Kahikina, Associate Project Manager

Subject: APPROVAL OF THE AWARD OF CONTRACTS TO VARIOUS CONTRACTORS FOR THE ROXBURY COMMUNITY CENTER PROJECT; AND APPROVING PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS THEREFOR; AND REJECTION OF BIDS OF SEVERAL CONTRACTORS AS NONRESPONSIVE; AND

APPROVAL OF PURCHASE ORDERS TO VARIOUS CONTRACTORS FOR THE CONTRACT WORK IN THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF \$10,165,603

Attachments:

1. Form of Contract
2. Bid package matrix

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the "City Council move to (1) approve the award of contracts to various lowest responsible bidders (listed below) for the construction of the Roxbury Community Center"; (2) approval of the construction contingencies listed below and delegate the authority to approve change orders to the Director of Public Works & Transportation as provided in Beverly Hills Municipal Code Section 3-3-111; (3) approve the plans and specifications for the Project, dated March 8, 2013, with respect to design criteria; and (4) approve purchase orders as follows:

1. Progressive, DBA Thomas Demolition, in the amount of \$234,000 for structural demolition work; and approval of the construction contingency of \$30,000; Approval of a purchase order in the amount of \$264,000 to Progressive, DBA Thomas Demolition for the work;
2. Prieto Construction, in the amount of \$654,570 for structural concrete work; and approval of the construction contingency of \$55,000;

Approval of a purchase order in the amount of \$709,570 to Prieto Construction for the work;

3. SME Steel Contractors, Inc. in the amount of \$1,229,805 for structural steel work; and approval of the construction contingency of \$115,000;
Approval of a purchase order in the amount of \$1,344,805 to SME Steel Contractors, Inc. for the work;
4. Tahiti Cabinets, Inc. in the amount of \$151,774 for millwork; and approval of the construction contingency of \$12,500;
Approval of a purchase order in the amount of \$164,274 to Tahiti Cabinets, Inc. for the work;
5. Best Contracting Services in the amount of \$380,728 for roofing and waterproofing work; and approval of the construction contingency of \$37,500; and approval of Change Order #1 in the deductive amount of \$41,500;
Approval of a purchase order in the amount of \$376,728 to Best Contracting Services for the work;
6. Crown Sheet Metal Products, Inc. in the amount of \$51,762 for sheet metal work; approval of the construction contingency of \$7,500;
Approval of a purchase order in the amount of \$59,262 to Crown Sheet Metal Products, Inc. for the work;
7. E.J. Enterprises in the amount of \$85,000 for doors, frames, and hardware work; approval of the construction contingency of \$7,000;
Approval of a purchase order in the amount of \$92,000 to E.J. Enterprises for the work;
8. South Coast Industrial Door, Inc. in the amount of \$11,790 for doors, frames, and hardware work; approval of the construction contingency of \$2,000;
Approval of a purchase order in the amount of \$13,790 to South Coast Industrial Door, Inc. for the work;
9. Corona Aluminum Co. in the amount of \$776,600 for curtain wall, storefront, and skylight work; and approval of the construction contingency of \$75,000;
Approval of a purchase order in the amount of \$851,600 to Corona Aluminum Co. for the work;
10. Performance Contracting, Inc. in the amount of \$981,600 for drywall, insulation, sheathing, waterproofing, and fiber cement panel work; and approval of the construction contingency of \$120,000;
Approval of a purchase order in the amount of \$1,101,600 to Performance Contracting, Inc. for the work;
11. Inland Pacific Tile, Inc. in the amount of \$355,060 for porcelain, ceramic and quarry tile work; and approval of the construction contingency of \$25,000;

- Approval of a purchase order in the amount of \$380,060 to Inland Pacific Tile, Inc. for the work;
12. Hutchinson Corporation in the amount of \$150,283 for acoustical ceiling and wall work; and approval of the construction contingency of \$15,000;
Approval of a purchase order in the amount of \$165,283 to Hutchinson Corporation for the work;
 13. The M.S. Rouse Company in the amount of \$358,805 for carpet, wood, and resilient flooring work; and approval of the construction contingency of \$15,500;
Approval of a purchase order in the amount of \$374,305 to The M.S. Rouse Company for the work;
 14. MG Mako, Inc. in the amount of \$79,490 for painting and sealed concrete work; and approval of the construction contingency of \$12,500;
Approval of a purchase order in the amount of \$91,990 to MG Mako, Inc. for the work;
 15. Neiman & Company in the amount of \$18,474.25 for signage work; and approval of the construction contingency of \$11,500;
Approval of a purchase order in the amount of \$29,974.25 to Neiman & Company for the work;
 16. Partition Specialties, Inc. in the amount of \$225,587 for custom partition work; and approval of the construction contingency of \$7,500;
Approval of a purchase order in the amount of \$233,087 to Partition Specialties, Inc. for the work;
 17. Global Specialties Direct, Inc. in the amount of \$31,262 for toilet partitions and accessory work; and approval of the construction contingency of \$2,200;
Approval of a purchase order in the amount of \$33,462 to Global Specialties Direct, Inc. for the work;
 18. Duray / J.F. Duncan Industries, Inc. in the amount of \$48,406.75 for food service equipment and related work; and approval of the construction contingency of \$3,500;
Approval of a purchase order in the amount of \$51,906.75 to Duray / J.F. Duncan Industries, Inc. for the work;
 19. No award for the operable window shade bid package.
 20. Cosco Fire Protection, Inc. in the amount of \$86,080 for fire protection work; and approval of the construction contingency of \$6,000;
Approval of a purchase order in the amount of \$92,080 to Cosco Fire Protection, Inc. for the work;
 21. Muir-Chase Plumbing Company, Inc. in the amount of \$506,250 for plumbing and wet site utility work; approval of the construction contingency of \$55,000;

- Approval of a purchase order in the amount of \$561,250 to Muir-Chase Plumbing Company, Inc. for the work;
22. Wittler Young Company, Inc. in the amount of \$801,330 for HVAC work; approval of the construction contingency of \$65,000;
Approval of a purchase order in the amount of \$866,330 to Wittler Young Company, Inc. for the work;
 23. Berg Electric Corporation in the amount of \$1,112,200 for electrical work; approval of the construction contingency of \$90,000;
Approval of a purchase order in the amount of \$1,202,200 to Berg Electric Corporation for the work;
 24. Leko Construction, Inc. in the amount of \$264,406 for earthwork, SWPPP, and caisson demolition work; approval of the construction contingency of \$35,000;
Approval of a purchase order in the amount of \$299,406 to Leko Construction, Inc. for the work;
 25. Western Paving Contractors, Inc. in the amount of \$63,900 for asphalt paving work; approval of the construction contingency of \$10,000;
Approval of a purchase order in the amount of \$73,900 to Western Paving Contractors, Inc. for the work;
 26. Jezowski & Markel Contractors, Inc. in the amount of \$426,723 for site concrete and masonry site wall work; approval of the construction contingency of \$30,000;
Approval of a purchase order in the amount of \$456,723 to Jezowski & Markel Contractors, Inc. for the work;
 27. American Landscape, Inc. in the amount of \$223,980 for landscape, irrigation, site furnishings and athletic court repair work; approval of the construction contingency of \$30,000;
Approval of a purchase order in the amount of \$253,980 to American Landscape, Inc. for the work;
 28. Aesthetic Maintenance Corporation in the amount of \$19,800 for final cleaning work; approval of the construction contingency of \$2,237;
Approval of a purchase order in the amount of \$22,037 to Aesthetic Maintenance Corporation for the work;

It is further recommended that the "City Council move to reject the following bids for contract work pertaining to the Roxbury Community Center" as follows:

- 1) Stumbaugh, Inc. in the amount of \$30,067 for toilet partition and accessory work;
- 2) Sheward & Son & Sons, Inc. in the amount of \$19,985 for operable window shade work;
- 3) Western Air Limbach in the amount of \$749,384 for HVAC work;
- 4) Western Paving, Inc. in the amount of \$63,900 for asphalt paving work;
- 5) Hufcor in the amount of \$81,879 for moveable partition work;
- 6) Letner Roofing Co. in the amount of \$286,850 for roofing and waterproofing work;

- 7) Anning-Johnson Co. in the amount of \$351,000 for roofing and waterproofing work;
- 8) Stone Roofing in the amount of \$365,750 for roofing and waterproofing work;

INTRODUCTION

The proposed project consists of a single story building of approximately 19,800 SF, sited over the footprint of the existing building, which was unanimously accepted by the City Council at the October 2, 2012 City Council Study Session. At the formal meeting on October 2, 2012, Amendment No. 1 to the agreement with RTK Architects was approved to prepare plans and specifications suitable for bidding.

At the January 24, 2013 Study Session, the City Council provided direction to proceed with the bidding process. At the formal session on the same day, the City Council approved Amendment No. 1 to the agreement with Matt Construction for construction management services for delivery of the project through the Construction Manager Multi Prime (CMMP) delivery method resulting in the multiple bid submittal presented in this report.

DISCUSSION

Bid Process

The City sought to obtain bids from experienced building contractors for the construction. Through the services of the Construction Manager a prequalification procedure was utilized following the State guidelines. Among the items that the procedure required was construction experience in several recent, local, and similar completed projects. The process was advertised in the Beverly Hills newspapers and the construction documents were posted on the City's website. Additionally, several alternates were incorporated into the bid structure to provide the option to adjust the project cost, which are further described below.

Bid Results

On April 9, 2013, a total of 62 bids were received from the various pre-qualified subcontractors for the 28 trade bid packages. Four of the bid packages submitted did not include a bid bond. The bid documents include the following language:

"SECTION 2: INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS

2.b. Bid Security. Each bid shall be accompanied by bid security in the form of a cashier's check, certified check or bid bond in the amount of 10% of the total bid amounts. ...Bids not accompanied by the required security shall be rejected."

Thus, staff, in consultation with the City Attorney's office, recommends that the City Council find that the following bids are non-responsive and be rejected as they did not include a bid bond:

Bid Package #17: Toilet Partitions Accessories

- Reject bidder: Stumbaugh
- Award to second lowest bidder: Global SDI

Bid Package #19: Operable Window Shades

- Reject bidder: Sheward & Son & Sons, Inc.

- Scope of work has been removed from the construction award and will be included in a future FF&E package;

Bid Package #22: HVAC

- Reject bidder: Western Air Limbach
- Award to second lowest bidder: Wittler Young Co., Inc.

Bid Package #25: Asphalt Paving

- Reject bidder: Western Paving
- Only one bid received; Package was rebid pursuant to the Public Contract Code;

One bid package submitted did not include a cost for alternate #3 as required by the bid documents. The bid documents include the following language:

“APPENDIX D: BID FORM

2.0 Alternate Bids. Bidder agrees to provide an add/deduct for any or all of the Alternate Bid items listed herein as part of the overall Work. These Alternates are listed below and the required scope of work would relate to the respective specification sections and Bid Category Packages.”

Thus, staff, in consultation with the City Attorney’s office, recommends that the City Council find that the following bid is non-responsive and be rejected as it did not include a cost for the alternate bid item:

Bid Package #16: Custom Partition

- Non-responsive bidder: Hufcor
- Award to second lowest bidder: Partition Specialties

Three bid packages submitted in the roofing category did not include a certification letter at the time of bid opening as required by the bid documents. The bid documents include the following language:

“SPECIFICATIONS SECTION 07540

1.03 Submittals with Bid. When submitting the bid package for this project, bidder must submit a separate letter from the specified and/or approved Roofing Manufacturer(s). ...All bids that are submitted without the accompanying letter as described, and/or include letters that do not indicate compliance with the five (5) year experience requirement will not be accepted at the time of bid opening”

Thus, staff, in consultation with the City Attorney’s office, recommends that the City Council find that the following bids are non-responsive and be rejected as they did not include a letter of certification:

Bid Package #05: Roofing and Waterproofing

- Non-responsive bidder: Letner Roofing Co.
 - Non-responsive bidder: Anning-Johnson Co.
 - Non-responsive bidder: Stone Roofing
 - Award to fourth lowest bidder: Best Contracting Services
- (Note: The bid submitted by Best Contracting Services is under the estimated value of the work. Upon close review, it was determined that one of the bid packages contained work that was not required by the project. Therefore, the Construction

Manager has recommended a deductive change order for the roofing bid package in the total amount of \$41,500)

The results of the individual trade bids are described in the attached bid package matrix. For the majority of bid packages at least three bids were received, and in a few cases only one bid was received. The construction manager highly recommends acceptance of the lowest responsible bidders, even where two or less bids were received because it is viewed as a reasonable cost for the work. Current economic circumstances in the construction industry within the greater Los Angeles area have lessened the number of viable subcontractors, and strong competition for the available subs has resulted in fewer bids received. Also, pursuant to Public Contract Code Section 22038(c), "If no bids are received through the formal or informal procedure, the project may be performed by the employees of the public agency by force account, or negotiated contract without further complying with this article."

A summary of the cost of the base bid and alternate bid items is as follows:

- Base Bid: \$9,087,829

The bid alternates are as follows:

- Deductive Alternate #1 – Delete cement board panels and replace with stucco: (\$45,000)
- Alternate #2 – Provide suspended wood slat ceiling in lieu of 'T' bar acoustic tile: \$44,730
- Alternate #3 – Provide power lift Skyfold partition in lieu of horizontal sliding partition: \$109,217
- Alternate #4 – Provide additional three skylight clusters in Lobby: \$31,445
- Alternate #5 – Provide multi-slide stacking door in lieu of fixed windows in Lobby: \$4,200
- Alternate #6 – Provide LED strip lighting fixture: No cost
- Alternate #7 – Provide additional cabinetry at Arts & Crafts Room: \$6,647
- Alternate #8 – Provide additional cabinetry at Staff Room #107A, Conference Room #112, & Meeting Room #115: \$4,098

Based on the analysis of the overall bid results the project can be completed as envisioned within the approved budget. Therefore, staff recommends acceptance of the base bid without deductive alternate #1, and with additive alternates #2 - #8, and a deductive change order (as noted above) resulting in a total construction award amount of \$9,288,166. This amount is less than the engineers pre-bid cost estimate for the base bid work of \$10,670,309, prepared by construction manager, Matt Construction.

The bid documents specify a 306 day (ten month) completion period for the work. The anticipated project start date is May 2013 with completion in March 2014.

A contingency for each of the subcontractors has been included in each of the individual purchase orders, as described in the attached bid package matrix, to cover unforeseen conditions. The total contingency for the project is \$877,437. Expenditures from the contingency are made utilizing the Change Order process in the Municipal Code upon adequate written justification and substantiation.

FISCAL IMPACT

The total contract amount of \$10,165,603 (including the contingency) has been budgeted for in the FY 12-13 Capital Improvement Program (CIP) budget approved by the City Council for the Roxbury Park Community Center Project #0914.

Don Rhoads
Finance Approval



Mahdi Aluzri
Approved By



Attachment 1

APPENDIX A
FORM OF CONTRACT

This contract ("Contract") is entered into by and between the City of Beverly Hills ("City"), a California municipal corporation, and _____, a _____, whose address is _____.

In consideration of the agreements herein contained, the parties agree as follows:

1. **WORK TO BE PERFORMED.** Contractor shall furnish at Contractor's own expense all labor, materials, supplies, equipment, tools, transportation and other items of expense necessary to complete in a workmanlike manner all Work in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Contract, except for the labor, materials, supplies, equipment, tools, transportation and other items of expense as may be required to be furnished by the City. The Work is defined in detail in the Contract Documents, which govern the interpretation and performance of this Contract, but may be generally described as follows:

ROXBURY COMMUNITY CENTER

2. **CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.** This contract consists of this Form of Contract and the following Contract Documents, including all exhibits, appendices, addenda, drawings, specifications and documents therein and attachments thereto, all of which are by this reference incorporated herein and made a part of this Contract:

- SECTION 1: NOTICE INVITING BIDS**
- SECTION 2: INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS**
- SECTION 3: SPECIAL CITY REQUIREMENTS**
- SECTION 4: GENERAL SPECIFICATIONS**
- SECTION 7: ADDITIONAL FORMS**
- SECTION 8: GENERAL CONDITIONS**

- APPENDIX A: FORM OF CONTRACT**
- APPENDIX B: SCOPE OF WORK**
- APPENDIX C: PAYMENT PROCEDURES**
- APPENDIX D: BID FORM**
- APPENDIX E: TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS**

- EXHIBIT A: CONTRACT DOCUMENT LISTING**
- EXHIBIT B: PROJECT STANDARD INFORMATION / INCLUSIONS**
- EXHIBIT C: TRADE SPECIFIC SCOPE INCLUSIONS (BID PACKAGES)**
- ROX 000 Survey & Layout (For Reference Only)**
- ROX 001 Structural & Site Demolition, Hazardous Materials Removal**
- ROX 002 Structural Concrete, Reinforcing Steel, Caissons**
- ROX 003 Structural Steel, Miscellaneous Metals, Metal Decking**
- ROX 004 Wood Casework, Countertops, Wood Base, Automated Book Drop**
- ROX 005 Roofing & Waterproofing**
- ROX 006 Sheet Metal Flashing, Roof Accessories, Expansion Joint Assembly**
- ROX 007 Doors, Frames & Hardware**
- ROX 008 Overhead Coiling Door**

ROX 009	Curtain Wall & Storefront System, Glazing, Skylights
ROX 010	Drywall, Framing, Insulation, Exterior Sheathing, Mineral Fiber Reinforced Cementitious Panels, Waterproofing Membrane, Exterior Scaffold
ROX 011	Porcelain Tile, Ceramic Tile, Quarry Tile
ROX 012	Acoustical Ceilings & Walls
ROX 013	Carpet Flooring, Wood Flooring, Resilient Flooring, Polished Concrete Flooring
ROX 014	Painting, Wall Coverings (FRP), Sealed Concrete Flooring
ROX 015	Signage
ROX 016	Sliding Partition Wall Systems
ROX 017	Toilet Partitions & Accessories, Fire Extinguishers, Fire Extinguisher Cabinets
ROX 018	Food Service Equipment
ROX 019	Roller Shades
ROX 020	Fire Protection
ROX 021	Plumbing & Site Utilities
ROX 022	HVAC System & Controls
ROX 023	Electrical, Fire Alarm, Solar Collectors, Audio-Visual, Security System, Tele-Data
ROX 024	Earthwork, SWPPP, Temporary Fencing, Caisson Demolition
ROX 025	Asphalt Paving, Striping, Wheel Stops, Parking Lot Signage
ROX 026	Concrete Sitework, Site walls
ROX 027	Landscape, Irrigation, Site Furnishings, Basketball Court Repair & Resurface
ROX 028	Final Cleaning
EXHIBIT D	PROJECT SCHEDULE

as contained in City's Bid Document for Bid No.13-31, dated March 13, 2013 and

SECTION 5: BIDDER'S BID
SECTION 6: SIGNATURE PAGE AND LEGAL STATUS

of Contractor's Bid in response thereto, all of which are incorporated herein by reference, and all of which shall comprise the Contract Documents for this Contract. If any item of the Scope of Work, Payment Schedule, or any other item of the Bid Package is modified by either of the parties or arrived at by negotiation between the parties, that item as finally agreed upon by the parties shall also become a Contract Document, it shall supersede the corresponding item of the Bid Package, if any, and it shall be subject to all terms and conditions of the Contract.

3. PERFORMANCE PERIOD. Contractor shall commence Work after execution of the Contract, and shall complete all Work as described in Appendix B from the date of Notice To Proceed as set forth in the Contract Documents.

4. PAYMENT. City shall pay Contractor as full consideration for the satisfactory performance by Contractor of all Work required under this Contract the sum of _____ Dollars (\$ _____), payable as provided in the Contract Documents, inclusive of Alternate Bids _____.

5. The City Manager or his designee shall administer the terms of the Agreement on behalf of the City.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Contract to be executed as of the date stated below.

DATED: _____

DATED: _____

CITY OF BEVERLY HILLS
"City"

"Contractor"

JOHN MIRISCH
Mayor

By: _____

ATTEST:

By: _____

BYRON POPE
City Clerk

APPROVED TO FORM:

APPROVED AS TO CONTENT:

LAURENCE S. WIENER
City Attorney

JEFFREY KOLIN
City Manager

DAVID D. GUSTAVSON
Director of Public Works

ALAN SCHNEIDER
Director of Project Administration

KARL KIRKMAN
Risk Manager

Attachment 2

ROXBURY COMMUNITY CENTER

BID MATRIX

4/30/2013

Bid Pkg.	Description	Contractor	Base Bid	Alt. 1	Alt. 2	Alt. 3	Alt. 4	Alt. 5	Alt. 6	Alt. 7	Alt. 8	Total Bid	Notes
ROX 016	OPERABLE WALL PARTITIONS	HUFCOR	\$ 81,879			NOT INCL.						\$ 81,879	Budget: \$189,304; The apparent low bidder submitted an incomplete bid package and subsequently deemed non-responsive. Award of contract goes to the second lowest bidder.
		PARTITION SPECIALTIES INC.	\$ 110,570			\$ 115,017						\$ 225,587	
ROX 017	TOILET PARTITIONS & ACCESSORIES	STUMBAUGH & ASSOCIATES	\$ 30,067									\$ 30,067	Contractors Solicited: 4; Contractors Prequalified: 3; Bids Received: 2; Budget: \$27,000; The apparent low bidder submitted an incomplete bid package and subsequently deemed non-responsive. Award of contract goes to the second lowest bidder.
		GLOBAL SPECIALTIES DIRECT INC.	\$ 31,262									\$ 31,262	
ROX 018	FOOD SERVICE EQUIPMENT	DURAY / J.F. DUNCAN INDUSTRIES INC.	\$ 48,406.70									\$ 48,406.70	Contractors Solicited: 6; Contractors Prequalified: 3; Bids Received: 1; Budget: \$50,000;
ROX 019	MECHOSHADES	SHEWARD & SON & SONS INC	\$ 19,985									\$ 19,985	Contractors Solicited: 5; Contractors Prequalified: 3; Bids Received: 1; Budget: \$32,332;
ROX 020	FIRE PROTECTION	COSCO FIRE PROTECTION INC.	\$ 86,080									\$ 86,080	Contractors Solicited: 5; Contractors Prequalified: 3; Bids Received: 1; Budget: \$85,647;
ROX 021	PLUMBING / WET SITE UTILITIES	MUIR-CHASE PLUMBING COMPANY, INC.	\$ 506,250									\$ 506,250	Contractors Solicited: 5; Contractors Prequalified: 3; Bids Received: 2; Budget: \$699,434;
		MURRAY COMPANY	\$ 597,194									\$ 597,194	
ROX 022	HVAC SYSTEM	WESTERN AIR LIMBACH	\$ 748,585		\$ 799							\$ 749,384	Budget: \$914,600; The apparent low bidder submitted an incomplete bid package and subsequently deemed non-responsive. Award of contract goes to the second lowest bidder.
		WITTLER YOUNG CO. INC.	\$ 800,000		\$ 1,330							\$ 801,330	
		CONTROL AIR CONDITIONING	\$ 825,800		\$ 2,100							\$ 827,900	
		KEY AIR CONDITIONING	\$ 1,089,000		\$ -							\$ 1,089,000	
ROX 023	ELECTRICAL	BERG ELECTRIC CORPORATION	\$ 1,106,000			\$ (1,800)	\$ 8,000					\$ 1,112,200	
		CSI ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS	\$ 1,105,775			\$ (2,385)		\$ 16,225				\$ 1,119,615	
		CUPERTINO ELECTRIC, INC.	\$ 1,176,997			\$ 2,465						\$ 1,179,462	
		SCG ELECTRIC	\$ 1,459,238			\$ 1,500						\$ 1,460,738	
		AJ KIRKWOOD	\$ 1,463,700			\$ (910)		\$ 6,470				\$ 1,469,260	
ROX 024	EARTHWORK / SWPPP / CAISSON DEMOLITION	LEKO CONSTRUCTION INC.	\$ 264,406									\$ 264,406	Contractors Solicited: 6; Contractors Prequalified: 4; Bids Received: 1; Budget: \$315,899
ROX 025	ASPHALT PAVING	WESTERN PAVING CONTRACTORS INC.	\$ 63,900									\$ 63,900	Budget: \$72,280; The apparent low bidder submitted an incomplete bid package and subsequently deemed non-responsive. Package rebid pursuant to the Public Contracting Code.
		UNIVERSAL ASPHALT	\$ 69,655									\$ 69,655	
		SULLY-MILLER	\$ 75,858									\$ 75,858	
ROX 026	CONCRETE SITEWORK / SITE WALLS	JEZOWSKI & MARKEL CONTRACTORS INC.	\$ 426,723									\$ 426,723	Contractors Solicited: 5; Contractors Prequalified: 5; Bids Received: 2; Budget: \$596,586;
		HBA, INC.	\$ 575,990									\$ 575,990	
ROX 027	LANDSCAPE / IRRIGATION / ATHLETIC COURT REPAIR	AMERICAN LANDSCAPE INC.	\$ 223,980									\$ 223,980	
		PIERRE SPRINKLER & LANDSCAPE	\$ 297,066									\$ 297,066	
		VALLEY CREST LANDSCAPE	\$ 361,791									\$ 361,791	
ROX 028	FINAL CLEANING	AESTHETIC MAINTENANCE CORPORATION	\$ 19,800									\$ 19,800	Contractors Solicited: 3; Contractors Prequalified: 3; Bids Received: 1;